



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/708,897

03/30/2004

Bruce W. Tryon

5704.00209

2896

26659 7590 07/21/2008
RAGGIO & DINNIN, P.C.
2701 CAMBRIDGE COURT, STE. 410
AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326

EXAMINER

BEHNCKE, CHRISTINE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3661

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/708,897	Applicant(s) TRYON, BRUCE W.	
	Examiner CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE	Art Unit 3661	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CHRISTINE M. BEHNCKE. (3) Kurt VanVoorhies (Reg No. 38,643).
 (2) Thomas Black. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4/16/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 12, 21-23, and 47.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vickers (20040204797), Tamai (6307277), Kamen (20030230440).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The finality was discussed of claims 21-23. It was agreed that finality was proper on claims 21 and 22. An agreement was not reached on the finality of claim 23. An agreement was not reached on art applied to claims 12 and 47.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. M. B./
 Examiner, Art Unit 3661

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required